

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Land Use

	28	43	27	98
	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
1	Fully support LU4. I think the county needs to focus on strategy of town and country or village and country and this policy should be followed both within and outside the urban growth boundary. This seems the best strategy to provide high quality living environments, walk able and preserving countryside without requiring people to get in cars which solves transportation problems. County should identify now where these villages should occur and be sure policies and priority investment strategies are directed to help make them a reality.			Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
2	The plan is good in that it incorporates multiple areas of future development.	What I did not see was an overlay of a demand for permit or future permit requests to support or perhaps impact the plan.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
3	The land use section is on the right track.	There needs to be incentives added for TND design. Charleston County should adopt a smart code or TND ordinances to support the comprehensive plan.	There should be provisions in the comprehensive plan that allow for agricultural land to be used as a farmers market and/or agro-entertainment.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Land Use

28	43	27	98
Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
4	Urban growth boundary must be flexible enough to permit low impact development outside of urban growth boundary.		0
5	AG10 Family Land-the deficiency in rights between a 29 acre plot and one over 30 acres is not fair.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
6		Find your land use mapping very confusing. The legend represents a bunch of single use type districts which I don't feel represents the best future. I think our future should be mixed use villages with higher density and mix in the center then a transition to lower density, then transition to no density/preservation. Very simple, a series of villages connected by roads and rail lines that run through preserved natural and agricultural landscapes.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
7	Well thought out long term plan for urban growth and protecting rural properties.	Ever increasing developments encroaching on existing rural areas. What seems to be disregarded for existing zoning restrictions? We must pay constant attention to maintain current stakes of properties.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
8	Looks pretty good.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Land Use

	28	43	27	98
	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
9	Like all Johns Island resident.	The lack of cooperation between county and City of Charleston in terms of conflicting development resulting in city and county approved developments being placed in close proximity to each other.	The fervent desire of most Johns Island residents is for the county to be firmly committed to a density of 1 house per 4 or 5 acres. The trend toward cluster housing has not slowed development in other areas but has instead led to even more dense development.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Land Use

28	43	27	98
Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
<p>10 I support the peoples rights of there own property because every person has a plan for their own future and they started this plan year prior to this UDO stuff.</p>	<p>My concern is that in 1992 I started a plan for my family future in purchasing this piece of property and slowly developing a rental capital for my children's future and security, but now it's a burden on me, now that I am outside the urban growth line on Brownswood Rd.</p>	<p>I don't understand the concept of this UDO you have RR4 on one side of the road and on my side for the road is RR3 which does not meet the transition in my eyes. I would like to see a S3 zoning which is a transition that seems to fit. I have 14 lots on 5 acres now. I have been working on my project from 1992 to 1999. I have waited to develop or sell for development and all I want to do is look out for my families welfare, because no one else will. That is my job as a father to support my family. This UDO has put me in a financial bind by crippling my plan for success by stopping my plan to support the welfare of my family. I lived on Johns Island all my life, was not given farmland, no family fortunes, no education. My back and my property is my success for my family, but it looks like to me that other people's concerns are greater than supporting my family. This is a problem for a lot of people on the island, but just listen to the rich its the American way.</p>	<p>Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island</p>

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Land Use

28	43	27	98	
Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location	
11	I'm encouraged with the amount and quality of thought and planning going into this update. I hope no surprises spring up.	I hope some new idea comes about before the next wave of greedy developers starts. Too many cars and too many uneducated people think a new or wider road will solve all their traffic problems.	In general I feel all the efforts are very worth while. The next update will be very interesting, data on how well the plan meets the goals of the county residents.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
12	Would like the urban growth line to be moved on Brownswood Road. On one side is RR4 and the other side is RR3, they should have the RR4 on both sides of Brownswood Road, not limit a persons income by a new law in 1999.	Urban growth boundary should be moved to River Road not to include Brownswood Road.	Do not limit a person by the urban growth line-all should have the same rights for their property.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
13	Urban growth line should be fair to all. In particular you have on Brownswood Road RR3 on one side and RR4 on the other-both should be RR4.			Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
14	Urban growth line should be moved.	Move urban growth line to Wadmalaw not Johns Island.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Land Use

28	43	27	98
Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
15		I think the county and municipalities must take the lead and set the standard. The public sector must build high quality public realm. The streets we build here are awfully designed. Private sector will respond by building with higher quality. Every public investment should be leveraged to create value for the land along its edges. Public facilities, schools especially, must be of highest quality and in best locations. We currently do neither and it reflects on the quality of education in the county.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
16	LU3-how low is low density, don't want to lead to sprawl. Might be better to encourage small developments with higher density for retirees.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
17	Thank you for striving to keep the rural nature of this area.	Keeping Bohicket as beautiful as it is now.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
18	I feel that the county should have been checking these other towns and cities governments 20-30 years ago, but continue what you are doing.	If the town of Mt. Pleasant is allowed to continue on the idea of development there will be no low or affordable housing in its boundary. The town is still building one way in and one way out subdivisions.	Is there any use of continuing if you are going to let the town of Mt. Pleasant do what they want to do? East Cooper
19	Provide provisions in rural areas for bikes on highway and other roads.	Urban growth limit adopted by a county contradicting a municipality.	Placement of schools, in size and location, should align with population place of dominance. East Cooper

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Land Use

28	43	27	98
Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
20	Town of Lincolnville and areas around as low density is wrong, use land for housing growth affordable work force housing prime area in the county.	Future land use map in love with green, use other colors to show differences, so many greens-impossible to understand in print with our computer monitor.	East Cooper
21	Any council developed to work on issues need to include all sectors of the neighborhoods and communities that will be impacted.	I feel the county is responsible to seek and engage as many of the citizens as possible to participate.	East Cooper
22	My concern would be to include more infrastructure like bike paths and mass transit easements for express bus lanes, park and ride, etc.		East Cooper
23	Adopt urban growth boundary as proposed.	Errors on map, for example Fairlawn plantation shown as national forest. Planners are oblivious to true character of people and land. This shouldn't be generic but that's where it's headed despite rhetoric to otherwise.	You've hired the wrong planning team. East Cooper

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Land Use

28	43	27	98
Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
24	Stay the course. Finalize the UGB where it currently exists. New growth should pay for itself, especially if it is more costly growth to the county that happens in rural or inappropriate areas.	Respecting private property rights is important, but should not be prioritized above respecting the rights of a community. For example, one landowner should not be able to develop his/her property in a manner that will negatively affect community facilities, roads, natural resources or character.	The idea of development quality guidelines is a good idea. Also coordinate land use with transportation should be included in the strategies.
25	Laurel Hill Plantation on Highway 41 doesn't seem to be indicated as conserved land.		East Cooper
26	On FLU the green area under the 584 highway sign should be grey, as incorporated it is not unincorporated county.		East Cooper
27	Should show area immediately around and have recommendations about what types of things could go in this area. It is not practical to show area surrounded by town as extremely low density.		East Cooper

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Land Use

	28	43	27	98
	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
28	There should be a separation in the county between urban growth boundary and municipalities of Hollywood and Ravenel. Adjust future land use map to include a green belt. It would also preserve some of the uniqueness of the separate communities.			Central/Urban
29	Pleased to see the Belgrade/Elsey area on the comprehensive plan update agenda.	Would like to see the recommendation priority for this area sped up to a sooner time frame.	We believe this would improve existing quality of the land for this area.	Central/Urban
30		Urban growth boundaries shouldn't be supported until apparent change is needed based on growth, not on proposed projects.		Central/Urban
31		Land along 17 and Jacksonboro Road should have less restricted use than resource might in area where new school is being built on Old Jacksonboro Road and where new developments on Old Jacksonboro Road are underway-recommend rural residential here. Check with school district to locate where new schools are already underway.		Central/Urban

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Land Use

28	43	27	98
Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
32	Develop quality-para 10 (urban/suburban). This will encourage connection by cities in particular City of Charleston. It will weaken the UGB.	What does LU8 mean? Needs to be clarifies, otherwise very good.	Central/Urban
33	Put in plan for East Edisto. There can be no planning without coordination with Mead Westvaco.		Central/Urban
34	Especially for LU1, LU4-LU6. Development quality/urban suburban area-need aggressive approach to requiring previous materials for streets, sidewalks, driveways, parking lots. Plans for enhancing community quality (e.g. community gardens for vegetables).	Overall-great.	Central/Urban
35	As an owner and steward of timberland for many decades, I do not want to be penalized by my land categorized as rural forever, while others are allowed development. I didn't want surrounding development near my land to be allowed while it can't be allowed on my land because I've been a good rural steward.		Central/Urban

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Land Use

28	43	27	98	
Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location	
36	Consider requiring sustainable developments to reduce demands on infrastructure. Require street trees in new developments. Require (bar?) quality design in new development projects.		Central/Urban	
37	Language is planning jargon (e.g. Development Quality Guidelines).		Central/Urban	
38	Overall good job.	Revisit areas that are zoned agriculture. They are presently too generous and not consistent with character areas.	Keep up the good work.	West County/Edisto Island
39	Need to continue to be able to protect land through conservation easement.	We want to recommend that the 1 to 1 land use developing density factor be revised.	We are trying to preserve the low density of the area-lets use the loop holes.	West County/Edisto Island
40		Strongly encourage use of density bonuses in all areas, as long as any use of a density bonus is applied to an appropriate acreage, and then the overall acreage would be locked in, so a future plan couldn't then put density in the area that contributed density credits.		West County/Edisto Island
41		The urban growth boundary seems to divide the haves from the have nots. Planned growth particularly allowing for job creation in Hollywood/Ravenel is critical.	Development quality-rural area is nice, but it works indirect conflict with the need for jobs.	West County/Edisto Island

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Land Use

28	43	27	98
Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
42	Need to encourage leisure trails, bike trails and other linkages (besides highways) between communities, not just within the community-broader scale network of trails.		West County/Edisto Island
43	Why emphasize agriculture when it is virtually dying? There are almost no tomatoes.	Why tourism when people working receive low pay, seasonal and no benefits?	West County/Edisto Island
44	In overall agreement with strategies.	Concerned with the ranges of development within the residential densities for Edisto Island.	West County/Edisto Island
45	Outside of the natural forest, some planned growth in rural areas should be allowed for. One residence per 25 acres over the entire area is not wanted. Utilize existing infrastructure for pockets of growth in the rural areas. Combining residential with commercial/industrial centers makes good sense and is economically sustainable. This can be done while preserving the rural character of the vast majority of the rural area.		West County/Edisto Island
46	Access to beach and waterways. Roadways in character with the area.	No high density housing.	Jobs, jobs, jobs.
			West County/Edisto Island

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Land Use

28	43	27	98
Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
47	#5 Development quality-is this not too much government input in land use. #19-Doesn't this take up more land? #11-is already too dense on the waterways.	Tourism is a poor industry-low paying, seasonal and not consistent. Why put so much emphasis on it?	West County/Edisto Island
48		Controlled growth is essential-needs to address housing needs for all levels.	West County/Edisto Island
49	Develop the land in a way very organized, orderly fashion-provide industrial areas in order to promote the creation of good jobs.		West County/Edisto Island
50		Consider supporting rural economic development incentives-providing employment opportunities.	West County/Edisto Island
51	Why isn't the Long Savannah project shown? Is this project going to be stopped because the UGB is not going to be moved? Or if LS is going forward, why is it not shown? It would seem a comprehensive plan should include projects already being planned. The same concern relates to plot in Hollywood.		West County/Edisto Island

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Land Use

	28	43	27	98
	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
53	<p>We have enjoyed the excellent presentations that we have attended, and appreciate the work that has gone are expected to endure until 2020 and felt that the concerns I have need to be made.</p>	<p>I am concerned, when I look at your map, with the "Urban Growth Boundary", the approximately 800 acres along Main Road with no designations of any kind for the future-no areas allowing space for the creation of jobs.</p> <p>The 800 acres that I am focused on has miles of frontage on Main Road, which would allow various uses and not disturb established communities. I feel that more emphasis should be put on work centers- not more and more houses. Also, this entire acreage, at present, is used for tree farming. This might change before 2020. Therefore, I feel that some plans should be in place for the future. Your " Implementation Work Plan" shows this for other areas. Thus, I would hope that the same could be done for this treat.</p>	<p>I am a part-owner of this property, which has been owned by us for a long time. We are all very interested in a good future for this treat and for all of Johns Island.</p> <p>*Comments about Implementation Map This particular tract of land, I feel, is large enough to accommodate various uses and not be limited to "rural area" for the future because of its location on Main Road and site of tract. We feel that the urban growth boundary should go to Main Road and allow areas for something other than houses - such as commercial, industrial, etc. to provide jobs close to homes, such as the area plans noted on left. app. 800 acres - now used for forestry - but should be considered in your plans, we feel, for other uses in their future - due to so much highway frontage on Main Road, which would not.</p>	<p>Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island</p>

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Land Use

	28	43	27	98
	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
55	Stay the course. Stabilize UGB. Keep rural rural.		1. Keep rural densities with the plan! 2. Solidify the UGB. 3. Adopt from based code to protect the integrity of rural villages. 4. Make transportation form of LU. Determining land use first then figure out what type of transportation design will be consistent.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
56	Good general plan while will require sound some changes.	Too regulated and speculative about future needs - need to plan other smaller regional growth centers to reduce long trips along the Main Road corridor near Johns Island High School.	Have flexibility for future needs for industry, agriculture, etc.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Transportation

	27	35	18	80
	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
1	Four lane Maybank.			Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
2	Monitor traffic impact on environment and quality of life.	Improve public transportation system-buses to Kiawah and Seabrook for workers who travel daily, make it convenient and affordable.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
3		Any roadway should have at least one sidewalk.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
4		How is adequate transportation infrastructure defined?		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
5	If we can live up to the plan, all is well.	Back room politics that serve development.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
6	I support traffic impact studies. T7 looks excellent but we may have fortified some opportunities already. T9 is excellent.	T11-speed limits that are too low breed contempt.	You might also consider the rail idea.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
7	T9 is vital for transportation infrastructure be in place before development. T11-lower speed limits on 2 lane rural roads is important.	T4-major thoroughfares on Johns Island do not fit with the rural enforcement. Alternate plans and ideas must be explored. Parkways like Betsy Kerrison are pretty and more useful and preserve the rural character of the island.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
8		More emphasis on mass transit-especially rail. More emphasis on environmental impact of existing and future roadways.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
9	Great idea to support complete streets.			Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
10	Specifically favor T3, T9-T11 and T16.			Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Transportation

Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
11	Developers need to be required to pay for any road construction or repair demanded by their projects before they are allowed to commence construction.	The proposed cross island parkway should be paid for by Buddy Darby and the other developers who will benefit from it.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
12	I don't see any references to alternative forms of transportation (e.g. light rail, park and ride).		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
13	Having transportation and land use support each other is a great idea. The transportation element should place emphasis on roads and all other modes of transportation-bike paths, light rail, commuter rail and bike/pedestrian paths. Also please include Roadwise and other Charleston County road projects under the transportation umbrella.	Stay the course is a wonderful approach. Public transportation along the Maybank Highway Corridor should be added to planning issues.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
14		Rail lines connect all the small and large towns that exist in the county and region. If land use policies encourage new development within these towns (which I fully support) seems a much stronger emphasis should be placed and providing passenger rail service throughout the region using the existing tracks. I think this deserves its own strategy.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Transportation

Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
15	Use of existing rail lines for commuter rail would facilitate public transportation routes in the settlement areas within the rural areas, otherwise there is no opportunity for public transit.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
16	All concepts are sound.	Implementing the common sense concepts outlined will be extremely difficult.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
17	The plan is ambiguous about how it will coordinate with ordinance 1324. What are some preliminary transportation plans or proposals? Who will be affected? What are some of the consequences?		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
18	Plan seems complete. Status quo. Infrastructure.	No mass transit planning including. Express bus lanes for rush hour. Reduction of gas-being a greener community. As population ages need more reliance on public transportation.	T16 inadequate. East Cooper
19	Needs to be more bikeways. Ben Sawyer bridge is a concern for walking over and most especially riding a bike-more bike paths on roadways.	Need a connecting mass/multi-transit system-Mt. Pleasant downtown, N. Charleston, etc. Not just improvements at airport/airbase, all over the tri-county area.	East Cooper
20	T2-do a traffic study of school student patterns. Where they go and where they live.	Students living near home or parents work are options other are traffic generating.	East Cooper
21	Offer tax incentives for hybrid vehicles or use of CARTA.		East Cooper

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Transportation

	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
22	Coordinating transportation with land use is a great idea.	Coordinate with municipalities on transportation planning. Coordinate this section closely with the PIA element.	There needs to be a manner in which road projects can be deemed inappropriate in certain areas (like rural areas). More emphasis on transit and multi-modal transportation needed.	East Cooper
23		The intercoastal waterway is a natural resource. Keep the waterway accessible and dredged to allow tourism and boat traffic.	Monitor and promote development of egress routes.	East Cooper
24	Repair existing roads.	Don't build any new roads, especially no new expressways.		East Cooper
25	The more bicycle lanes the better. More bikes means healthier people, less traffic, and is only beneficial.			East Cooper
26	Appreciate this format of gathering opinions from residents.	With the cost of fuel, movement of population to central locations-bike friendly corridors are a must. More detail concerning road wise should be available at meetings.		East Cooper
27	I commend the county for including its citizens. Please adhere to concerns.	My concern is that these are areas where there is no turning lanes and it is very much needed, especially coming off the main highway.		East Cooper
28	Attention to bicycles and pedestrians.	No mention of CARTA or public transit.	Gas is \$4 a gallon and someone needs to get on a bus and revise this.	East Cooper

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Transportation

	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
29	Please, widening roads cannot be the only answer, nor can traffic signals or adding more lanes. Lets make sure that T9 is in fact concrete.			Central/Urban
30	T13 is an especially important clause. Happy to see it. T1 and T2 outline important issues as well.			Central/Urban
31	Need to support a better public transportation system, buses, boat rail-need more stops and routes and timely. Would love to be able to ride a bus from James Island to downtown for a meeting-just not reliable.			Central/Urban
32		Plan for public transportation as there will be less energy in future for the kind of vehicles currently used.		Central/Urban
33		Water transit has not been explored. There are many types of vehicles, routes. This is a flexible form of transit suited for Charleston. Also consider smart growth revamping of roads, landscapes, buffers.		Central/Urban
34	Need to complete I526 loop to improve traffic flow West Ashley and James Island.			Central/Urban
35			Very good.	Central/Urban
36			Very good.	Central/Urban
37			Encourage transit related mixed use developments to provide affordable workforce housing.	Central/Urban

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Transportation

	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
38		<p>Concern that T9 strategy with term adequate may rely on road works vs. mass transit options that regulate road loads/capacities. Direct development to incorporate mass transit or mixed residential in order to retain rural character and reduce sprawl.</p>		Central/Urban
39	<p>I like the idea of making sure roads are in place to satisfy the needs from new additions.</p>	<p>Need to adhere to what the impact studies say and make decisions that count from these studies. Need to have congress reevaluate the way money from gas taxes is returned to the states.</p>	<p>Glen McConnell Parkway needs to be extended all the way to Highway 17 for escape and for unobstructed passage from Summerville to Charleston. You can restrict development by not providing turn-outs.</p>	Central/Urban
40	<p>Bike paths, sidewalks.</p>		<p>Traffic is a common complaint. Bringing work and workers closer together is the solution. Adding and expanding roads promotes sprawl and takes away some of the incentive to live where you work, work where you live. More and larger roads=sprawl.</p>	Central/Urban

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Transportation

Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
41	Public transportation (e.g. a network of light rail, buses, and feeder buses connecting to a wide network of bike and pedestrian pathways should be high priority. This includes connecting and maintaining existing paths and sidewalks, ensure accessibility for people using wheelchairs as well as supporting the growth of CARTA for the interim.		Central/Urban
42	Is there fast, dependable, cheap transportation for senior citizens out in the rural areas?	Small express buses 3 or 4 times a day to West Ashley and downtown would be a big help.	West County/Edisto Island
43	Preserving rural character of rural roads in good, but some selected improvements to key arteries is needed for safety and to support growth.		West County/Edisto Island
44	I strongly support strategies-T3 (adding turn lanes at driveways and intersections. T4 (dedicating US17 as the Charleston County bisector highway and all bicycle paths and incorporate into greenway). T5 (Highway 17 level of access). T4 (Lower speed limit on US17 to 50 mph-currently only a 10 mile stretch, Jacksonboro to Ravenel).	Reduce US17 speed limit to less than 50 mph in Charleston City (county wide). Add deceleration lanes for subdivision entrances on US17.	West County/Edisto Island

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Transportation

	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
45	More bike paths, room for pedestrians while keeping rural nature.	Lower speed limits. Scenic Highway 174.		West County/Edisto Island
46		Do you intend to force communities such as Edisto Island to install water through the island? In my opinion this would cause major development to force this type of improvement.		West County/Edisto Island
47			Needed code/legend to explain dots. What is BFW or WAC? Also what do the various colored dots actually mean? What is difference between bonded project and an allocation project for instance?	West County/Edisto Island
48		How can we get the speed limit on US17 South reduced from 60 mph to a reasonable speed? No other 60 mph on US17 for 60 miles or more. Almost impossible to get out of our subdivisions.		West County/Edisto Island
49	In overall agreement with strategies.	Is there a way to address any transportation concerns of rural residents to places with economic development?		West County/Edisto Island

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Transportation

	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
50	<p>1. Good + necessary to link transportation, land use. Make sure transportation or includes more than just roads.</p> <p>2. Developing a strategy to fix road first before building new roads.</p> <p>3. Work with municipalities on transportation + land use</p>	<p>1. T6 says: "Adopt Complete Streets Policies." This is good but needs to accommodate transit, bike + ped-not just bike + ped.</p> <p>2. No mention of neighborhood scale street design. Need context sensitive street design + not just widening or interstates.</p> <p>3. Roadwise is a big concern, in general. They need to work with planning shift comply with comprehensive plan.</p>	<p>1. Multiple modes of transportation need to be treated equally with roads = amount of \$ should be distributed or transit + rail + roads -> maybe we should even allocate more \$ to transit + rail.</p> <p>2. Bike + ped need to be thought of as a mode of transportation not recreation. No "meandering" bike lane. Make bike paths direct routes.</p>	<p>Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island</p>

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Natural Resources

	13	16	5	34
	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
1	Good concepts. Implementation will be costly.	Major concerns about careless and improper placement. Run-off of storm water.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
2		I'm new to this issue, but am wondering if there are provisions to support rehabilitations of damaged areas.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
3			County parks are terrific. This terrific success should be highlighted and expanded. These parks not only preserve sensitive land, they can form the edges of villages and towns and provide public access to great resources.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
4	Looks pretty comprehensive.	It is not realistic to say there won't be any negative impacts of growth. Rather say we want to minimize such negative impacts.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
5		Strategy NR1: There needs to be strong enforcement of strong ordinances with strong penalties for damage to natural resources by development activities. Once lost, they can never be reclaimed.	County Council needs to devote more time and resources to protection of our environment. A healthy environment will be the only thing that can sustain us in the near and long term.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
6	Specifically favor NR1-NR4.			Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Natural Resources

	13	16	5	34
	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
7	Protection is good, but overprotection is worrisome.	Farmland soils-even if not needed as agriculture? Especially with Westvaco Project-take into account increase land value of adjacent property, it would be crazy to do production if land value is 3, 4, 5X higher as developed.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
8	I generally support this element.	Wish to have developers responsible for protecting existing natural resources within their development.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
9	NR6-put legislators in office, work to allow multi-jurisdictional TDR's.			East Cooper
10	Aside from rhetoric, little if anything.	Does not suggest any real understanding of natural resources here, and suggests no real support.	Stay out of sensitive areas.	East Cooper
11		TDR programs don't work unless proper receiving areas are put in place.		Central/Urban
12		Strategy regarding linking with existing NGO's or government entities that work on these issues? Any aspects dealing with conflict resolution for trade-off/cost-benefit analysis.		Central/Urban
13			Very good.	Central/Urban
14	Finally something in a plan one how to safe one resources as they exist today.	Businesses need to realize that wetlands and forests are finite, they cannot just fill in and chop down at will.		Central/Urban
15	Continue protecting critical and natural resource areas.	No high density or intensity development.		West County/Edisto Island

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Natural Resources

	13	16	5	34
	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
16	In agreement with overall strategies.	Is there anything to be done that can help control dock density?		West County/Edisto Island
17	Natural resource protection is important.	Protection must be balanced by economic rarities. Farming and forestry are quickly becoming uneconomical. Must allow land uses that provide economic alternatives in order to pay taxes and encourage good land use practices.		West County/Edisto Island
18	Providing incentives for protecting rural character is good. Use the carrot, not the stick. Do not take private property rights by mandating through zoning that is too restrictive. The PUD process can control growth.			West County/Edisto Island
19		Please keep area marshes pristine.		West County/Edisto Island
20		NR4-there are areas outside the urban area with facilities adequate to support sustainable development.		West County/Edisto Island
21	It's a good idea to continue to protect our natural resources.	Are we doing enough to protect?	Keep an open mind in this.	West County/Edisto Island

	12	18	12	42
Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location	
1		Might the county also focus on the development/attraction of green-collar jobs?	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island	
2	Seems there are several economic strategies that relate directly to agriculture and tourism. We could identify the best ag land, purchase the development rights then establish programs (priority investment) to support small to mid range farmers (organic practices) that grow high quality food for the local region. In turn these farmers must keep their land clean, and presentable and accessible to the public. Tourists highly value visiting the agricultural regions of Western Europe, staying in accommodations in the small villages there. Charleston is becoming known for its restaurants, lets support entire community with quality food and farming practices.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island	
3		One great benefit of preserving our natural resources is eco-tourism. We have landscapes that appeal to many. The proper access to these places can teach locals and visitors alike about preserving and living in harmony with the other creatures. We then need eco-tourism hotels, inns nearby so people don't drive so much.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island	
4	Restrictions outlined are admirable. Rules of existing properties must be enforced.	Putting teeth into zoning regulations to prevent sprawl.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island	
5	Specifically favor ED3, ED6, ED7, ED8, ED10.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island	
6	The support of business should carefully consider size and type of industry. Likewise, the community has the right to reject certain companies based on their products produced. For instance, hazardous products, chemical or biological items.	ED1-business entities should be taxed for improvement that enhances their operations not residents. Critical elements that are necessary for business should be classified per nature of business products, industrial, etc. There also should be a limitation of size of company; no more than 50-75 employees. ED7-promotion of agriculture must remain at the forefront of Johns Island community. We must seize opportunity to lower food cost and make cost of living as cheap as possible in buying food products.	We must protect against over commercialization. Johns Island should remain rural type home residential setting with just a few areas of commercial entities that does not require customer visits, selling retail, etc.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island

	12	18	12	42
	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
7	Protect our agricultural land. We will need local food production more and more as fuel prices increase, and safety of food supply declines.			Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
8		The establishment of centrally located commercial zones would allow the county to prevent sprawling strip mall development over wide areas on major thoroughfares. This would preserve much of the rural nature of our county while allowing rural residents access to services and the ability to share in economic growth.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
9		The area is rich in unique marshlands, wildlife. Economic development policies should recognize and promote eco-tourism opportunities.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
10		ED3-where/how define commercial centers? ED14- in what way? Shared costs and profits?		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
11	Strongly support reusing existing commercial buildings rather than building new ones.		Owners of empty commercial buildings should be required to, after one year, demolish and return to green space.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
12		What are the economic objectives of Charleston-Dorchester and Berkley? What is an underutilized community area?		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
13	ED2-transit and oriented development as a goal.			East Cooper
14			Need for more business in community to provide jobs for people in the community.	East Cooper
15			Provide updated seismic and FEMA models to clarify costs for property owners to develop.	East Cooper
16			The predicted trends contradict drastically with other trends in population that I've seen that say a population decrease by 2015.	East Cooper
17		When development comes in a community, the contractor brings in labor. The residents don't benefit or get any financial gains.	City should support business that hires local residents.	East Cooper
18			Is there a strategy needed that speaks to conflict resolution/balancing environmental vs. economic trade-offs for prime development areas within county?	Central/Urban
19		ED6-flush out rural, encourage local consumption of local food, renew farming.		Central/Urban

	12	18	12	42
Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location	
20	Good ideas are coming out for developing what is already in place. More input needs to go into revamping existing structures to make modern and safe.	Not enough businesses are being courted to come to Charleston and North Charleston		Central/Urban
21		Need some planned growth and job creation in areas such as around Hollywood/Ravenel. That does not seem possible with some of the restrictions in the rural areas.	Make use of US17, 165 for planned development. This can be done with little impact on overall rural character. Also existing rail.	West County/Edisto Island
22		Are we doing enough to bring in new jobs and businesses that are needed.	Keeping the heritage of the low country in tact.	West County/Edisto Island
23	I support efforts to provide jobs for local population-specifically something for the Adams Run/Ravenel/Hollywood/Meggett area. We need jobs in the area, so people can work close to home.			West County/Edisto Island
24		Economic development must occur in order to attract good companies and good jobs to our area.		West County/Edisto Island
25		Hope that your plan includes attracting businesses in the rural areas as well as ag-tourism. Without jobs in the area and folks working and living in this area, attracting development may not occur and upgrading schools will not happen.		West County/Edisto Island
26	Support economic development.	Make sure needed infrastructure is in place near Highway 17 South for job growth. With increased gas prices and greater congestion on highways, we need more commercial centers so people don't have to travel so far.		West County/Edisto Island
27		Tourism is a sorry industry-poor pay scales, seasonal employment, no benefits. Why emphasize it?		West County/Edisto Island
28		Tourism is important but often times provides for low wage jobs. Need alternatives outside of the urban area for well paying jobs.		West County/Edisto Island
29		Agriculture is dying in Charleston County. Why are we preserving agricultural land for dying industry? What are farmers to do with land tied up for agriculture land and no income?		West County/Edisto Island
30		Need job creation in Hollywood and Ravenel area so people can work without having to drive so far. This will help reduce strain on roads also.		West County/Edisto Island

	12	18	12	42
	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
31	In agreement with overall strategies. Particularly like the intergovernmental agreement.		Economic opportunities for rural areas are important. Possible to look for transportation solutions to employment away from rural areas.	West County/Edisto Island
32	Encourage mixed use developments in proximity to neighborhoods.			West County/Edisto Island

Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
1	Housing issues need to address in tandem with traffic issues. That's why severe commute jams have developed. Housing developed beyond ability of supporting roads.	Population growth figures say nothing about category breakdowns, including illegal aliens. Why do believe the growth in the county will accelerate?	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
2		The comprehensive plan by necessity is a very high level presentation, however because it is so grand it makes a substantive critique virtually impossible.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
3	Any housing growth including low income housing should be planned with a density of 4 to 5 acres per unit.	All possible efforts should be made to resist any further annexation by the City of Charleston.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
4	Maintaining existing urban growth boundary is critical to sustaining development of Charleston County.	Follow established regulations. Providing appropriate housing in urban area will be difficult to implement with availability of cheap land outside of UGB.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
5	Specifically favor P4, H8 and H9.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
6	The plan does not define affordability or identify areas of affordability. Further it doesn't explain current housing strategies or guidelines.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
7	Coordination within county. How about coordination with adjacent counties?		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island

	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
8	Good to see this much attention to an issue previously ignored. Mention of LHT is good, but absence of others (e.g. habitat) suggests less interest in working with them on affordable housing-should change this wording.	Council must make some quantitative comment to affordable housing. Given the wage/home price disparity and the difficulties of private developers in building affordable housing, mere statements of good intent and open-ended density bonuses will not get much affordable housing built. Given the large percent of the population who cannot afford to buy any house on the market these days. It would be a good idea for the council to recognize this problem with a commitment to seeing that for instance 20-25% of all new housing is affordable. That is economically affordable by people making up to 120% of AMI. Private developers can address the higher end of this range given the proper incentives. Non-profits can address the lower end, with assistance. Council needs to create these incentives and provide this assistance on a regular basis, using a 20-25% goal to drive these actions.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
9	The housing goal is a great concept however the county needs to take a more active role in making this goal a reality.	Low income housing trust and other housing development organizations should be afforded the funds to make affordable housing available and really affordable. Not based on the current or future cost of home but based on the affordability of the future homeowners based on salaries and wages.		East Cooper
10		What will be the price of work force housing and affordable housing? Are these high density housing will be in place in existing community? Will the residents in these communities be able to afford to live or own these homes? My concern is with high density and the cost of housing-make taxes rise and force some of the residents out.		East Cooper
11	Appreciate clear reference to social justice (equity concerns related to population and development).	Any reference to supporting/incentivizing green development and redevelopment activities within urban and rural environment.		Central/Urban

	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
12	Special management areas are a good idea.	Housing strategies are almost all confusing. It isn't working now-affordable housing. New strategies needed.		Central/Urban
13	Charleston is finally writing policy to protect first time buyers with affordable housing.	We are letting outside developers to come in and build what they want. The ratio of affordable housing to luxury homes is reversed. Builders build 10% affordable homes and 90% luxury homes. This needs to change. Charleston needs backbone to dictate to builders on what we want.		Central/Urban
14		It is not only important to provide quality and affordable housing per se but to provide it close to employment opportunities. With MUSC as largest employer, more affordable housing for all income ranges need to be close to downtown. This along with public transportation will help to afford housing.		Central/Urban
15	I support the idea of density bonuses in rural areas. Concentrated, planned growth is better than low density zoning that is really forcing sprawl.			West County/Edisto Island
16		No high density housing.		West County/Edisto Island
17	In overall agreement with strategies.	Important not to allow developers to use changes for affordable housing to increase development and create units that no one could afford.		West County/Edisto Island

	10	11	6	27
	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
1	Generally sounds good.	Doesn't say anything about deletion of items from the cultural resources list.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
2	Specifically favor CR1-CR11.			Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
3	Excellent concepts for retention of historically significant sites.	Careless construction that damages historic and other significant areas.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
4	CR4-YES! This must be put in place! There are many historical pieces of land that need to be preserved.			Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
5			The strategies listed in the draft are good. However unless there is close and continuous monitoring of such items as significant historic and archeological sites, they will intentionally or unwittingly be destroyed by developments and developers. There must be enforceable and significant penalties associated with mishandling/destruction of these resources.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
6		The county should work more closely with the land trust in the approval process fall all development. The impact of the new developments on neighboring green spaces should be considered.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
7		My concern about land use-the need for better drainage, cleaning ditches. We need to be aware of over population.	Cultural resources. CR6-this is a very good plan.	East Cooper
8			These historic black communities need protection from over development.	East Cooper
9	Strongly support CR8 to protect traditional and historical neighborhoods and communities as communities are invaded by so many from other parts of the nation.			East Cooper

	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
10	This is a rather narrow focus, almost exclusively on history.	Why don't cultural resources include contemporary civic and cultural activities-arts, civic traditions, sports?	This is a backward looking view of culture. History has nothing contemporary to be relevant to. Does the planned landscape promote living culture?	East Cooper
11	The preservation of the historical African American communities and settlements needs to be protected and preserved.	The concept is very broad. There are other cultural resources which are not listed in the National Register such as the preservation of Sweetgrass and the basket stands in the East Cooper area. The county needs to take a more active role in the preservation of the state craft as established by the governor.		East Cooper
12			Very good.	Central/Urban
13		Many current land use plans work against family settlement areas and utilization of family lands for multiple family elements.		West County/Edisto Island
14	Protect rural historic landscapes.			West County/Edisto Island
15		What is rural historic landscape? Seems like another way to limit job creation.		West County/Edisto Island
16		#4-area is open to abusive restrictions particularly for general use.		West County/Edisto Island
17	In agreement with strategies overall.	Family settlement areas. Too often developers will use regulations to increase density. This has nothing to do with actual family settlements. Kudos for the process starting to be tightened.		West County/Edisto Island
18	Definitely need to reassess what settlement areas are being used for-currently often used for high end developments for non-local residents.			West County/Edisto Island
19		Need some planned growth and job creation in areas such as around Hollywood/Ravenel. That does not seem possible with some of the restrictions in the rural areas.	Make use of US17, 165 for planned development. This can be done with little impact on overall rural character. Also existing rail.	West County/Edisto Island

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Cultural Resources

10

11

6

27

Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Community Facilities

	7	17	5	29
	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
1	Agree that community facility be provided.	Bear a portion of the cost to want developers to be required to update all facilities that are affected by their developments. Agree with CF6-however developers should be required to build their system to standard specifications-defined and approval by proper county agencies.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
2		How will growth in rural areas be considered in the plan when CWS has said they will not cross the urban growth boundary-this implies future growth projects must be contained within the boundary.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
3	New development must pay for itself.	When will the various governmental agencies begin to work and plan together? There is too little coordination in planning and execution.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
4		The county needs to improve infrastructure before approving developments, not after. Developers should be required to pay for the improvements to road surfaces, etc. as well as school expansion before the commence construction.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
5	Specifically CF1, CF4, CF6, CF8-CF12.			Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
6		New development should pay for itself, but impact fees must be balanced so there is not an undue burden on the new homeowner and a windfall to existing homeowners.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
7			With all the taxes paid by individuals why do we have to pay for waste water?	East Cooper
9	CF1-there is a strong emphasis on new development pay for itself. The term new development needs to be defined and clarified. Is new development a numerous number of homes/developments being built or a 2-3 family home being built. Small communities need to be given more consideration.			East Cooper
10	It would be good to have our own water conservation system. It would promote jobs for folks living in the communities.	Preserving historical and cultural environment. It is important to see how growth took place over a timetable without having to view it with such large gaps.	This is a large county and the structure of government is critical at this point.	East Cooper

7	17	5	29
Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location
11		CF7-provide education and incentives for residents and businesses to recycle.	East Cooper
12	Don't run infrastructure (e.g. water, sewage) to rural areas.		East Cooper
13	Consolidate fire safety services as a whole for the BCD area-two areas should not be responding to one emergency (e.g. James Island and City of Charleston because they were unsure who was to respond).		Central/Urban
14	Why do we keep adding people structures to BCD when we cant support them now. The issue is population-we can't grow forever.	No natural system grows forever. Every system has limits. What are ours?	Central/Urban
15	Language is a formidable barrier here (e.g. CF1 strategy could/should read 'develop alternatives to make sure that new developments pay for their share of community facilities and services'). Also 'agreements for the provision of services' should read 'agreements to provide services'.		Central/Urban
16		In addition to coordinate with parks and rec.- perhaps a recommendation for required green space for urban renewal efforts; ind. community gardens.	Central/Urban
17	CF11 should be strengthened so that education facility planning is strongly integrated with planning to create walkable, small community schools.		Central/Urban
18	Charleston needs to take the major step in working with other cities and counties to work together. Keeping costs down and working together will provide more bang for the buck for everyone.	To stop the in-fighting between counties and cities about existing land. The scratch and grab causes friction and bad feelings.	Central/Urban
19	Regarding CF4 and S1, by promoting collection of rain water for uses such as watering of plants/lawns, a large amount of potable water could be saved by requiring new developments to include plans for rain water collection and encouraging adoption of rain water collection in existing neighborhoods.		Central/Urban

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Community Facilities

	7	17	5	29
Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Comments	Location	
20		The jobs must come to the area to provide the people who will use the facilities.		West County/Edisto Island
21		School numbers are decreasing in Hollywood/Ravenel. People are going closer to jobs and quality schools. True sustainability of these communities won't happen without growth, planned growth, jobs. People don't want and shouldn't have to leave the communities just to have access to decent employment.		West County/Edisto Island
22		Need EMS facilities in Hollywood.		West County/Edisto Island
23		Bulow Boat landing is shown incorrectly on the map-Bulow is at Poplar Grove, not at the Edisto River.		West County/Edisto Island
24	In overall agreement with strategies. Nice to see strategies for fire protection and emergency medical care.			West County/Edisto Island

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Priority Investment

	18	17	14	49
	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Support	Location
1		What I do not see is the current invest. Strategy who controls it. What is emphasis? What are the goals? What is the impact on residents and businesses?		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
2	Why is southern Johns Island a major regional planning effort? Make Darby pay for it. Stop letting big money ride the back of the tax paying public.			Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
3		Nothing is said about prioritization of upgrading substandard bridges.	It seems that the implementation toolbox can be used for us or against us. The voters will need to stay on their toes.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
4		Why are tax payers supporting major regional planning efforts on private properties (e.g. southern Johns Island)?		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
5	I agree with the priority areas that were selected. We need to protect our communities sense of place for future generations.	Inability to enforce the forward thinking ideas set forth in the comprehensive plan.	Very well presented.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
6		Traffic problems in the area need attention, but solving them without disturbing the rural character of the county will be difficult. Mass transit is absolutely necessary, but may take \$10 gas to get everyone on board.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
7			The 3 counties that make up our region together with the 25 plus municipalities should operate under one authority. Its very bizarre to see all the maps stop at the county line as if nothing else out there exists or should be considered.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
8	Specifically favor PI3, PI5, PI6, PI 14, PI16.			Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
9	I support the plan.		Hard to believe city agencies care-difficult with differences between.	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Priority Investment

	18	17	14	49
	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Support	Location
10	Frequent references throughout the plan to collaborate in various forms and forums is excellent. We have effective land use planning and management now largely because jurisdiction choose not to work together, unless this changes the plan will fail.	Council and staff must devote much energy to organizational development, that is evaluation standing inter-organizational processes through collaboration this can take place. The feds realize this decades ago by requiring many local agencies to work together in producing various federal plans such as regional water and air quality, sewage, etc. County must do likewise. Without collaboration the UGB is just a pious wish. An urban growth boundary commission that includes all the relevant players as an example. But there are multiple areas in the plan that require forms of standing collaboration as well and council and staff should create these organizational mechanisms. If collaboration is key to the plans success then the council must have energy, money and adds staff to make sure it happens.		Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
11	Recommend the tool box be flexible to allow the incorporation of new tools.			Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island
12		It should be a priority to invest in a mass transit infrastructure including express bus lanes, car park and ride lots, and even right of way for light rail.	Charleston County is bounded by ocean and therefore development will be along shoreline-easement should be planned for this.	East Cooper
13	Waste management ideas are good. Development must pay for itself-pass laws to allow impact fees. Pass implementation initiatives.			East Cooper

	18	17	14	49
Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Support	Location	
14				
		Rural preservation and opportunity for growth. SC is a state where raw milk products are still legal, although they cannot be sold in grocery stores. There is a growing demand for these products as well as local and/or organic produce and meat from animals raised without artificial chemicals/hormones. If these products could be marketed and public awareness raised about the availability of wholesome local foods this could expand income potential for farmers as well as populations and potential employers looking for an enhanced quality of life. Awareness of what local farms have to offer could be incorporated into public school programs and an effort be made to make products easily accessible in more stores, farmers markets, etc.	East Cooper	
15	It is great to see the recommendations to encourage coordination with COG and all cities and towns- public transportation.			Central/Urban
16	My concern is that I don't see the extensive and intense priority for transportation related issues that current development and development related to the Long Savannah address. What I do see seems inadequate and short term.			Central/Urban
17		We never say what will be enough. What is enough?		Central/Urban
18	Regional GIS database is great. Capital plan requirement terrific.	There has been limited coordination with smaller municipalities. How is this going to happen? Who takes the lead?	Folly Beach is heavily impacted by CCPRC. There is limited coordination now. How does county take bad with semi-autonomous units coordination?	Central/Urban
19	This is very important. Please formalize and make law the UGB.		Very good.	Central/Urban
20			Please coordinate the plan with the computed COG regional plan.	Central/Urban
21	How does this lack of understanding of needs for additional schools infrastructure? Given development aspects?		What are the linkages to larger public transit activities/plans within Charleston (e.g. light rail, expanded bus transit)?	Central/Urban

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Priority Investment

	18	17	14	49
	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Support	Location
22	Its about time Charleston looked at what is proposed and the cost and return in investment in both Charleston County and surrounding counties. A total commitment and the backbone to adhere to a written policy to control outside entities such as developers and builders.	Making sure that what is written stands and not cave into monetary pressure.		Central/Urban
23			Provide affordable housing incentives-allow affordable housing developments as a use of right.	West County/Edisto Island
24	It is important to protect and preserve rural landscape, but let the owners have a say.	Wanting to protect rural area and maintain rural low country lands, if there are housing using these places.	Yes, we need to protect our rural landscape, but do not put restrictions on what can and can not be done.	West County/Edisto Island
25	Support projects proposed by that timber company, good planning ideas.	Deed investments needed in infrastructure in Highway 175 area for job opportunities.		West County/Edisto Island
26	In overall agreement with the strategies.			West County/Edisto Island
27	I support the idea of conservation subdivisions. Rural character is most valuable when there are at least a certain level of people in the rural area.	I have concern about the idea of people who never leave the city making recommendations or controlling land use on one else property. Maintain rural character, but don't prohibit growth in rural areas. Planned and controlled growth in the rural areas will actually help more people to appreciate the rural character and protect it.		West County/Edisto Island
28	Invest in water and sewer for urban area. Keep water and sewer off of Edisto Island.			West County/Edisto Island
29		How are you going to have affordable housing on Edisto Island with the current tax structure? I can hardly keep the house that has been in the family for generations.		West County/Edisto Island
30	Rural preservation-create preservation district. This would preserve Edisto Island.			West County/Edisto Island

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Priority Investment

	18	17	14	49
	Comments of Support	Comments of Concern	General Support	Location
31		Rural preservation needs to be flexible-land owners need equal opportunity for economic benefit that earlier sellers. Should not be penalized for holding your property and not developing at earliest time.		West County/Edisto Island
32	<p>1. Working to get agreements with waste water the providers is great!</p> <p>2. Working with neighboring Counties on land use + UGB issues is good!</p> <p>3. Linking interdepartmental planning is great!</p>	<p>1. No mention in here of making sure that county departments work together topper- ie Roadwise + planning staff. Roadwise has said they don't see a link between Land use and transportation.</p> <p>2. No mention of public transit - only road finding entities (DOT +CHATS). we need to make sure that we are coordinating with CARTA +COG on Bus + rail). Zoning will help make transit successful.</p>	<p>1. Include school districts in planning + coordinator</p> <p>2. Work with others jurisdictions on multi modal transportation plans.</p> <p>3. This section looks good.</p>	Johns Island and Wadmalaw Island

Charleston County Open House Comments

July, 2008

Matrix

Section	Support	Concern	General	Total
Land Use	28	43	27	98
Economic Development	12	18	12	42
Natural Resources	13	16	5	34
Cultural Resources	10	11	6	27
Community Facilities	7	17	5	29
Housing	9	14	3	26
Tranportation	27	35	18	80
Priority Investment	18	17	14	49
	124	171	90	385